345 Webster Ave. Apt. 4-0 Brooklyn, NY 11230 March 4, 2019

Donna Lieberman New York Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., 19th floor New York, NY 10004

Dear Ms. Lieberman, At 3:45 PM today you received my Legal Intake Form which states:

The New York State Unified Court System with the complicity of the Second Circuit is violating my right to free speech by threatening me with legal action if I send emails or letters offering to give a lecture/lesson on God's existence to 12 ministers affiliated with Columbia U. The details are spelled out in the attached 4-page letter I sent to every congressman in the U.S.

The purpose of this letter is to request a personal meeting with you or your staff about this request. On August 3, 2018, I sent the following letter with a certificate of mailing to all 12 members of the Judicial Council of the Second Circuit:

Your Honor,

The purpose of this letter is to document and prove that you approved of the denial (docket No. 18-90028-jm) of my petition for a review of Judge Katzmann's dismissal of my misconduct complaint against Judge P. Kevin Castel for not signing the default judgment I filed in Roemer vs. Attorney Grievance Committee; Jane E. Booth; Lee C. Bollinger (docket no. 17-cv-703).

Judge Katzmann referred to this allegation of misconduct in his affirmation of Judge Castel's dismissal of my lawsuit (docket no. 17-818). In my petition to the Judicial Council, I argue that Judge Katzmann is deliberately and maliciously attempting to cover up Judge Castel's unlawful behavior.

My understanding is that free speech is worthy of legal protection only when it has social value. The NYCLU cannot possibly evaluate the social value of my offer to the Columbia U. community unless you have a personal exchange with me about God's existence. All of the letters and documents in this case are at http://www.newevangelist.me/booth-davis/ministry.html.

Very truly yours,

David Roemer Faxed to 212-607-3318 and 212-607-3329



March 4, 2019

Dear David Roemer:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number: **9510 8066 9101 9061 0643 18**.

Item Details	
Status:	Delivered
Status Date / Time:	March 4, 2019, 3:46 pm
Location:	NEW YORK, NY 10004
Postal Product:	Priority Mail [®]
Extra Services:	Insured
	Signature Confirmation™
Actual Recipient Name:	E LOPEZ
Note: Actual Recipient Name may vary if the intended recipient is not available at the time of delivery.	
Destination Delivery Address	
Street Address:	125 BROAD ST FL 19
City, State ZIP Code:	NEW YORK, NY 10004-2458
Recipient Signature	
Signature of Recipient:	Symme X American Civil Liberlies Unipe X 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor New York NY 10004 Prind New Fork NY 10004 First S. J. L. S. P. L. S.
Address of Recipient:	Colvery Actives 28 Broadway, NY 10004

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service[®] for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office[™] or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely, United States Postal Service[®] 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW Washington, D.C. 20260-0004 345 Webster Ave. Apt. 4-0 Brooklyn, NY 11230 March 7, 2019

David James New York Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., 19th floor New York, NY 10004

Dear David,

I'm going to consider the information you gave me and get back to you. My plan was to discuss in person the quotes No. 9, 10, 11, and 12 about the mind-body problem in the lesson plan I'v included in the fax. No. 10 is from the biology textbook used by 65% of biology majors.

In my mind, No. 9 and 10 are malicious because they are ignorant and irrational and serve to promote atheism. If I am mistaken about this, then I am mistaken about the ignorance of the SEP entries about God's existence and the malicious behavior of the Second Circuit judges.

The email I got from the SEP is at http://www.newevangelist.me/resources/uri-nodelman.pdf

Very truly yours, David Roemer Faxed to 212-607-3329 Lesson Plan on God's existence Letter to United States Conference of Catholic Bishops 345 Webster Ave. Apt. 4-0 Brooklyn, NY 11230 March 9, 2019

David James New York Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., 19th floor New York, NY 10004

Dear David,

In an email, I told Nadine Strossen, a former president of the ACLU, that NYCLU is considering my request for support. What the NYCLU decides to do about the violation of my right to free speech will have an impact on the entire organization.

Concerning your suggestion that my lawsuit was frivolous because the attorney for Columbia is a private actor, why did the Second Circuit agree to oral argument? The defendants opposed oral argument, and my understanding is that it is very rare that a request for oral argument is granted especially for a *pro se* plaintiff. Furthermore, I made it clear that all I wanted to talk about was the Scopes Monkey trial and indeed that is all I presented on January 18, 2018. The podcast is on the court's website and my request for oral argument is here:

http://www.newevangelist.me/resources/Mojica.pdf

Forgive me if I am wrong, but my guess is that you can't figure out what is good and bad about the four quotes in my proposed lesson plan about God's existence.

What is good about Thomas Nagel's quote (No. 9) is that all four solutions of the mind-body problem are mentioned. What is bad is that Nagel implies that *monism* is something new and not "traditional." Monism comes from the metaphysics of Thomas Aquinas because he taught that unity is a property of being. Descartes' real contribution to metaphysics is not dualism but *cogito ergo sum*.

What is good about the biology textbook quote (No. 10) is the admission that whether or not humans evolved from animals is a philosophical question, not a scientific question. What is bad is that the quote mentions only the two most irrational solutions to the mind-body problem: materialism and dualism. The motivation for this nonsense is to promote atheism. If human beings evolved from animals, there is no argument for God's existence.

There is nothing good about the quote from the Catholic philosopher (No. 11). What is bad is that he is confusing two different methods of inquiry: science (Why is the sky blue?) and metaphysics (What is knowing the sky is blue?).

What is good about the quote from a Catholic physicist (No. 12) is his cogent expression of the difference between science and metaphysics. What is bad is that he thinks the human soul is spiritual by definition and that it mingles with our brain cells. A human being is a composition of form (soul) and matter (body). The human soul is spiritual because the mind-body problem is a mystery. Many Catholics think that soul, except for saints, goes to purgatory after death. But this is just theological speculation to account for the gab between death and the Second Coming of Jesus.

Very truly yours, David Roemer 347-414-2285 david@dkroemer.com Faxed to 212-607-3329 and 212-607-3318 345 Webster Ave. Apt. 4-0 Brooklyn, NY 11230 March 21, 2019

Donna Lieberman New York Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., 19th floor New York, NY 10004

Dear Ms. Lieberman,

On March 4, 2019, I sent you a fax asking for an interview to explain why the New York State United Court System and the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit are currently violating my right to free speech. I had a conversation about my request for an interview with one of your staff members on March 7 and this morning. I also sent faxes to you on March 7 and 8.

An analogy, which might help you realized why you should grant my request for an interview, is this: I was abducted by extraterrestrial creatures and spent a week on their spaceship. I offered to tell the Columbia U. community about my experience, but my offer was declined. I then sued Columbia and the courts dismissed the lawsuit *sua sponte*.

The American Civil Liberties Union is in an entirely different position than the New York judges. They don't have the time to investigate my claim and don't want to appear ridiculous to their colleagues and the public. The ACLU has volunteers with time on their hands and does not have to worry about making enemies or appearing foolish. All that constrains you is your claim that you care about the constitutional rights of Americans.

The content of my speech has social value because I explain why the three entries on God's existence in the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (ontological, cosmological, and teleological) do not explain the correct concept of God and the rational argument for God's existence.

Very truly yours,

David Roemer Faxed to 212-607-3318 and 212-607-3329 345 Webster Ave. Apt. 4-0 Brooklyn, NY 11230 March 21, 2019

Donna Lieberman New York Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad St., 19th floor New York, NY 10004

Dear Ms. Lieberman,

On March 4, 2019, I sent you a fax asking for an interview to explain why the New York State United Court System and the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit are currently violating my right to free speech. I had a conversation about my request for an interview with one of your staff members on March 7 and this morning. I also sent faxes to you on March 7 and 8.

An analogy, which might help you realized why you should grant my request for an interview, is this: I was abducted by extraterrestrial creatures and spent a week on their spaceship. I offered to tell the Columbia U. community about my experience, but my offer was declined. I then sued Columbia and the courts dismissed the lawsuit *sua sponte*.

The American Civil Liberties Union is in an entirely different position than the New York judges. They don't have the time to investigate my claim and don't want to appear ridiculous to their colleagues and the public. The ACLU has volunteers with time on their hands and does not have to worry about making enemies or appearing foolish. All that constrains you is your claim that you care about the constitutional rights of Americans.

The content of my speech has social value because I explain why the three entries on God's existence in the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (ontological, cosmological, and teleological) do not explain the correct concept of God and the rational argument for God's existence.

Very truly yours,

David Roemer Faxed to 212-607-3318 and 212-607-3329